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| Fuchs, L. S., Malone, A. S., Schumacher, R. F., Namkung, J., Hamlett, C. L., Jordan, N. C., Siegler, R. S., Gersten, <br> R., \& Changas, P. (2016). Supported self-explaining during fraction intervention. Journal of Educational Psychology, 108(4), 493-508. Retrieved from https://eric. ed.gov/?id=EJI099301 | 69 students with MD received Fraction Face-Off with a focus on word problems. 73 students with MD received Fraction Face-Off with a focus on explanations. 70 students with MD participated in the business-as-usual (BAU). <br> On a Magnitude Comparison measure, word-problem students outperformed BAU ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.89$ ) and explanations students outperformed BAU ( $E S=1.37$ ). <br> On a Fraction Word Problem measure, word-problem students outperformed BAU ( $E S=1.20$ ) but explanations students did not. <br> On NAEP Fraction Items, word-problem students outperformed students in the $\mathrm{BAU}(E S=0.70)$ as did explanations students (ES = $0.57)$. <br> On Fraction Number Line, word-problem students outperformed students in the BAU ( $E S=0.71$ ) and explanations students outperformed students in the $B A U(E S=0.63)$. <br> On Calculations with Fractions, word-problem students outperformed the BAU (ES $=2.08$ ) and explanations students outperformed the BAU (ES = 1.98). | They worked with 4th-grade students with MD; we propose to replicate with 4th-grade students with MD outside of the area (Nashville, TN) in which the original sample was collected. |
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| Fuchs, L. S., Schumacher, R. F., Long, J., Namkung, J., Malone, A. S., Wang, A., Hamlett, C. L., Jordan, N. C., Siegler, R. S., \& Changas, P. (2016). Effects of intervention to improve at-risk fourth-graders' understanding, calculations, and word problems with fractions. Elementary School Journal, 116(4), 625-651. Retrieved from https://eric. ed.gov/?id=ED566704 | 71 students with MD received Fraction Face-Off with a focus on additive word problems, and 72 students with MD received Fraction Face-Off with a focus on multiplicative word problems. 70 students were in the business-as-usual (BAU). <br> On Fraction Number line, additive students outperformed BAU students (ES = 0.81) and multipli-cative students outperformed the BAU ( $\mathrm{ES}=1.10$ ). <br> On NAEP Fraction Items, additive students outperformed BAU students (ES = 0.33) and multipli-cative students outperformed BAU students ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.44$ ). <br> On Calculations with Fractions, additive and multiplicative students outperformed students in the BAU (ES = 1.70 and 1.22 , respectively). | They worked with 4th-grade students with MD; we propose to replicate with 4th-grade students with MD outside of the area (Nashville, TN) in which the original sample was collected. |
| Fuchs, L. S., Sterba, S. K., Fuchs, D., \& Malone, A. S. (2016). Does evidence-based fractions intervention address the needs of very lowperforming students? Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness, 9(4), 662-677. Retrieved from https://eric. ed.gov/?id=EJIl15270 | For students who scored in the 1st, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 99th percentiles at pretest, the Fraction Face-Off intervention demonstrated similar effectiveness across all percentiles. | They worked with 4th-grade students with MD; we propose to replicate with 4th-grade students with MD outside of the area (Nashville, TN) in which the original sample was collected. |
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| Malone, A. S., Fuchs, L. S., Sterba, S. K., Fuchs, D., \& Foreman-Murray, L. (2019). Does an integrated intervention focus on fractions and decimals improve at-risk students' rational number performance? Contemporary Educational Psychology, 59, 101782. https://eric. ed.gov/?id=ED595127 | 76 students with MD received <br> Fraction Face-Off with fractions and decimals. 73 students with MD received Fraction Face-Off with fractions and applications. 76 students with MD were in the business-as-usual (BAU). <br> On Fraction Number line, decimals and applications students outperformed students in the BAU ( $\mathrm{ES}=1.10$ and 1.07 , respectively). <br> On NAEP Fraction Items, decimal and applications students outperformed students in the BAU ( $E S=0.36$ and 0.59 , respectively). On Calculations with Fractions, decimals students outperformed the BAU (ES $=2.63$ ) and applications students outperformed the BAU ( $\mathrm{ES}=3.14$ ). <br> On Fraction Word Problems, decimals students outperformed students in the $\operatorname{BAU}(E S=0.63)$ and applications students outperformed students in the $B A U(E S=0.82)$. | They worked with 4th-grade students with MD; we propose to replicate with 4th-grade students with MD outside of the area (Nashville, TN) in which the original sample was collected. |

